

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,374	11/25/2003	Mel Kronick	10031014-1	8009	
22878 7590 03/06/2007 AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES INC.					
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION, LEGAL DEPT. MS BLDG. E P.O. BOX 7599			CALAMITA, HEATHER		
= -	LOVELAND, CO 80537		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1637		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/06/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/723,374	KRONICK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.	1637	

Doior o dio / imig or and ipp and and	Examiner	AILOIIIL				
	Heather G. Calamita, Ph.D.	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>22 February 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	<b>APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO</b>	R ALLOWANCE.				
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba iidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) $\boxtimes$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.			le appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS	the state of the state of the second state	91				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further company</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	· ·	duaina ar aimalifuina	the incurs for			
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	,		the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		maliant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impilant Amenument	(FIOL-324).			
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling th</li></ul>						
non-allowable claim(s).	mowable it subtritted it a separate,	unicity incu unicitative	ant carrooming the			
7. To purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an o	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 12-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>11 and 17-35</u> .	•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			•			
8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affidate	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
<ul> <li>See Continuation Sheet.</li> <li>12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li> </ul>	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13. Other:	T	ERESA E. STRZEL	•			
•		hgc ieresa St.	2107			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With respect to the 102 (b) rejections, Applicants' argue Albitar does not teach that the probe amounts are in any way related to the expected abundance of target in the sample. This argument is not persuasive because this is a methal step and any target abundance is an anticipated abundance. This limitation does not structurally differientiate the claim. It is a functional limitation met by Albitar because Albitar selected a value and that value was necessarily correlated with an expected abundance.